

ANTI-SCIENCE CLIMATE DENIER CAUCUS

Climate change is happening, and humans are the cause. But a shocking number of congressional Republicans—more than 55 percent—refuse to accept it.

One hundred and fifty-seven elected representatives from the 113th Congress have taken more than \$51 million from the fossil-fuel industry, which is the driving force behind the carbon emissions that cause climate change. These representatives deny what more than 97 percent of climate scientists say is happening: Current human activity creates the greenhouse gas emissions that trap heat within the atmosphere and cause climate change.

And their constituents are paying the price, with Americans across the nation suffering 368 climate-related national disaster declarations since 2011. There were 25 extreme weather events that each caused at least \$1 billion in damage since 2011, including Superstorm Sandy and overwhelming drought that has covered almost the entire western half of the United States. Combined, these extreme weather events were responsible for 1,107 fatalities and up to \$188 billion in economic damages.

IOWA

We have a moral obligation to act on climate change to protect our future generations. Despite the overwhelming scientific consensus and high costs to taxpayers, Iowa has two resident deniers who have taken \$356,106 in dirty energy contributions. The state has suffered six climate-related disaster declarations since 2011, including severe storms, flooding, and winter storms. Below are quotes from Iowa's two members of Congress who refuse to believe there is a problem to address:

Rep. Steve King (R-IA-04): "There are a couple of German engineers that took that theory apart and proved it wrong in a lab. I've read through that, but I'd have to go back to school for a half a year or a year to tell you I followed every bit of their rationale. But the presumption of the Greenhouse Effect is at least, from what I saw, was pretty convincingly rebutted." [ThinkProgress, August 18, 2010]

Sen. Chuck Grassley (R-IA): "But the scientific aspect that I'm still reserving judgment on is the extent to which it's manmade or natural. And it's reasonable, considering that there's at least a natural factor in it, because historically, and you can go to the core drillings in the glaciers to get proof of this, that we've had decades and decades, and maybe even centuries of periods of time when there's been a tremendous rise in temperature, and then a tremendous fall in temperature. And all you've got to do is look at the little ice age of the mid-last millennia as an example. And so we've got to single out what's natural and what's manmade before you can make policy." [Grist, August 26, 2009]